

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/800,887	09/800,887 03/08/2001		201066US2	6821		
22850	7590 11/12/2003	EXAMINER				
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			RUTHKOSKY, MARK			
1940 DUKE S ALEXANDR	STREET IA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		1745	$\sim$		
			DATE MAILED: 11/12/200	, /		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			09/800,887		SANO ET AL.				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
		Mark Ruthk	-	1745					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 October 2003</u> .								
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b	)⊠ This a	action is non	-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-7,13-22 and 24</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-12 and 23</u> is/are rejected.								
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or	r election req	juirement.					
Applicati	on Papers					•			
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner	r.		٠				
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on 3/8/2001 is/are	e: a)⊠ ao	ccepted or b	) ☐ objected to by the	e Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any object	tion to the o	drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).	. •			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to	the correcti	ion is required	if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority d								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.									
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.									
Attachmen	t(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4	) Interview Summary (	PTO-413) Paper Note	s).			
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa		5	) Notice of Informal Pa					

Art Unit: 1745

**DETAILED ACTION** 

**Priority** 

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers

have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 3/8/2001 has been placed in the application

file, and the information referred to therein has been considered as to the merits.

The examiner also requests the disclosure of any prior art documents or search reports

related to the European Patent Application 01105662.9, which is publication EP 1132986 A2.

The document is in the same patent family as the instant application and corresponding priority

document. The EPO document was printed without a search report and no search report was

made available to the examiner.

**Drawings** 

The drawings filed on 3/8/2001 have been approved.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of claims 8-12 and 23 in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged.

The traversal is on the ground(s) that the claims do not present an undue burden if examined

together. This is not found persuasive because the inventions have been shown to be distinct as

Application/Control Number: 09/800,887

Art Unit: 1745

provided in the restriction. For example, the applicant has not shown that Inventions I and IV, related as a product and process of use, are not distinct by proving that the product cannot be used in a materially different process. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 8-12 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 8 states that a claimed element of the fuel cell system is an operation control means for controlling an operating state of the fuel cell such that a water control ratio equivalent to the relative humidity is within a predetermined range. The water control ratio is not defined by the claim to be a ratio with regard to a first or second value. Thus, it is not clear as to what forms the claimed ratio (such as a numerator or denominator of the ratio.) For example, a ratio will have three values: the numerator and denominator of the ratio and the resulting equivalent ratio value. Two of the three variables must be shown in order to calculate the third. The claims will be examined as best understood due with respect to this rejection.

Page 3

Art Unit: 1745

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 8-12 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tsukui et al. (JP 362176064.)

The instant claims are to a polymer electrolyte fuel cell system comprising a relative humidity detection means for detecting a relative humidity of an exhaust gas of a fuel cell and an operation control means for controlling an operating state of the fuel cell such that a water control ratio equivalent to the relative humidity is within a predetermined range.

Tsukui et al. (JP 362176064) teaches a polymer electrolyte fuel cell system comprising a relative humidity detection means in the exhaust gas of a fuel cell. A signal from a sensor is transmitted to an operation control means for controlling an operating state of the fuel cell. The supply amount of the oxidizing gas is controlled according to the humidity of the exhaust gas. A water control ratio of the oxidizing gas is therefore equivalent to the relative humidity as they are directly related. The relative humidity is always in the range of 70-95%, which is within a predetermined range. The flow rate is noted as a control variable that is equivalent to the supply

Application/Control Number: 09/800,887

Art Unit: 1745

amount. As the variables of the ratio are indefinite, it is taken that the flow supply amount and

the relative humidity will provide the proper relationship to give a value of one. Thus, the claims

are anticipated.

Examiner Correspondence

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1193. Any inquiry

concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed

to Mark Ruthkosky whose telephone number is 703-305-0587. The examiner can normally be

reached on FLEX schedule (generally, Monday-Thursday from 9:00-6:00.) If attempts to reach

the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be

reached at 703-308-2383.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final

communications.

Mark Ruthkosky

Primary Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1745

III NHI

Page 5